

Abstract #				
Title:				
Authors:				
<b>Scientific Impact</b>	<b>Excellent (5)</b>	<b>Adequate (3)</b>	<b>Poor (1)</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	Abstract is succinct, conclusions are supported by results.	Abstract contains results that support conclusions but is lengthy/unfocused.	Abstract refers to pending results, but no results stated in poster.	
<b>Introduction</b>	Introduction clearly states relevance, novelty of project.	Introduction states relevance.	Introduction lacks sufficient background/necessity unclear.	
<b>Materials and Methods</b>	Methods/pathophysiology clearly explained using appropriate terminology, diagrams.	Methods explained but confusing/inaccurate	Methodology poorly explained, incorrectly stated	
<b>Results</b>	Data clearly presented: photomicrographs, captions, error bars, size indicators.	Images, charts lack some captions, legends.	Data presentation is confusing, misleading, stats lack error bars.	
<b>Discussion</b>	Interpretations of data are clearly stated and directly applicable to central theme.	Data interpretation has minor flaws or lacks clarity and focus.	Relevance of interpretations not discussed, confusing.	
<b>Conclusion</b>	Study conclusion clearly stated, well supported by data.	Conclusion(s) stated is(are) partially supported by data.	Study conclusion not supported or not stated.	
<b>Poster Structure</b>	<b>Good (3)</b>	<b>Average (2)</b>	<b>Poor (1)</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Clarity/ Visual appeal</b>	Audience drawn into poster; main points read swiftly from a distance.	Careful reading required to understand poster.	Main points are not clear after close inspection; extraneous design creates distraction.	
<b>Ease of reading</b>	Color, contrast, font size contribute to ease of reading.	Main points are clear after careful reading.	Main points difficult to recognize/interpret due to pixilation, confusing layout.	
<b>Spelling</b>	No spelling errors noted.	Minor spelling errors or lapses in order detract from impact.	Spelling/grammatical errors create confusion.	
<b>Layout Continuity</b>	Project described seamlessly from abstract to conclusion.	Poster inadequately describes scientific process/outcomes.	Poster lacks abstract, discussion or conclusion.	
<b>Wordiness</b>	Narrative minimized with bullets, diagrams, images where appropriate	Poster could be improved in a few areas by replacing narrative with bulleted points.	Poster consists primarily of words when bullets, diagrams or images would have greater impact.	